HELIANGOLIDES AND GERMACROLIDES FROM DISYNAPHIA MULTICRENULATA

FERDINAND BOHLMANN, GUILLERMO SCHMEDA-HIRSCHMANN and JASMIN JAKUPOVIC

Institute for Organic Chemistry, Technical University of Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 12, West Germany

(Revised received 4 November 1983)

Key Word Index—Disynaphia multicrenulata, Compositae, sesquiterpene lactones, germacranolides, heliangolides, ent-kaurene derivatives

Abstract—Investigation of Disynaphia multicrenulata afforded, in addition to known ent-kaurene derivatives and some widespread sesquiterpenes, several sesquiterpene lactones. Six of them had not been isolated previously, two germacrolides and two pairs of epimeric heliangolides. Their structures were elucidated by high field ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The chemotaxonomic situation is discussed briefly

INTRODUCTION

The genus Disynaphia (tribe Eupatorieae) is placed in the subtribe Disynaphinae [1] So far only one species has been studied chemically [2] Several sesquiterpene lactones were isolated, which in part were closely related to those from Grazielia, which is placed in the same subtribe We now have investigated the constituents of a further species from Paraguay, D multicrenulata (Sch.)

Bip ex Baker) K et R The results are discussed in this paper.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

While the roots of *Disynaphia multicrenulata* afforded only known compounds (see Experimental), the aerial parts gave in addition to known compounds a complex mixture of sesquiterpene lactones Separation of this

1436 F BOHLMANN et al

mixture by column chromatography, TLC and finally HPLC afforded 8β -(5-hydroxytiglinoyloxy)costunolide [3], eupatoriopicrin [4], 9β -hydroxy- 8β -O-tigloyl costunolide [5], hiyodorilactone C [6], chromolaenide [7], eucannabinolide [4], eupaformonin [8], eupaformosanin [9] and 3-epi-chromolaenide [10] as well as six new lactones, the germacranolides 1 and 2 and the heliangolides 3, 4, 5 and 6 The structure of 1 easily could be deduced from the ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) which was very close to that of 8β -(5-hydroxytiglinoyloxy)costunolide, differing only in the signals of the ester residue Also the ¹H NMR signals of 2 differed only in those of the ester group The presence of the semiacetal of a 5-hydroxy-4-oxo-tiglate could be deduced from the typical ¹H NMR signals (Table 1) [11] The ¹H NMR spectra (Table 1) of the lactones 3 and 4 showed that heliangolides were present Most signals were nearly identical with those of eucannabinolide. The downfield shift of the signal of H-20 and the presence of two acetate methyl singlets in the spectrum of 3 clearly indicated that this compound simply was the 20-O-acetate of eucannabinolide The typical signals of the ester residue of 4 showed that this lactone had the same oxygen function at C-8 as 2 The relative position of the ester groups in 3 and 4 followed from the chemical shifts of H-8\alpha which was nearly the same in the spectra of similar heliangolides. The

¹H NMR spectra of 5 and 6 (Table 1) were close to that of eupaformosanin Especially the changed multiplicity of the H-3 signal clearly showed that these two lactones had a 3α-acetoxy group, while the nature of the ester residue at C-8 could be deduced from the characteristic ¹H NMR signals Again the relative position of the ester groups followed from the unaltered chemical shift of H-8α in the spectra of known compounds [9, 10]

The chemistry of this Disynaphia species differs from that of Disynaphia halimifolia [2] which contains more unusual sesquiterpene lactones, while no ent-kaurane derivatives were isolated, but a geranylgeraniol derivative Benzofurans are present in both species. The investigation of further species seems to be necessary also from representatives of the other genera belonging to the subtribe Disynaphinae to get a clear picture of the chemotaxonomy of this group

EXPERIMENTAL

The air dried plant material, collected in February 1982 in Paraguay near San Lorenzo, was extracted with Et₂O-petrol, 1 2, and with MeOH The resulting extracts were evaporated at low temperature The extract of the roots (280 g) gave on CC (silica gel) fractions (100 ml) as follows 1 (petrol), 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 10 1), 3 (Et₂O-petrol, 1 3), 4 (Et₂O-petrol, 1 1), 5 (Et₂O) and 6

Table 1 ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 1-6 (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as internal standard)

	standard)					
****	1	2	3	4	5	6
H-1	491 br dd	492 m	5 30 m	5 30 m	5 10 br d	5 10 br dd
Η-2α			275 m	275 m	2 78 m	277 m
Η-2β			2 30 m	2 33 m	2 11 m	2 10 m
Η-3α			595 br d	587 br d	_	_
Η-3β			-	_	5 63 dd	5 61 br dd
H-5	4 81 br d	4 80 br d	5 22 br d	5 21 br d	5 22 br d	5 22 br d
H-6	5 17 dd	5 09 dd	5 30 m	5 30 m	5 28 br d	5 28 br d
H-7	2 95 br ddd	2 96 br ddd	297 br s	299 br s	298 br s	3 00 br s
H-8	5 84 br d	5 84 br d	5 27 br dd	5 28 dd	5 26 br s	5 26 br s
Η-9α	287 br dd	285 m	2 74 br d	275 m	2 72 dd	274 m
Н-9β	2 36 m	2 36 m	2 47 br d	2 50 br d	2 43 dd	2 44 dd
H-13	6 32 d	6 34 d	6 38 d	6 39 d	6 38 d	6 40 d
H-13'	5 64 d	5 66 d	581 d	581 d	5 79 d	578 d
H-14	1 51 br s	1 52 br s	1 81 br s	1 83 br s	1 94 br s	1 94 br s
H-15	1 80 br s	1 80 br s	1 84 br s	1 86 br s	183 <i>d</i>	1 84 br s
OAc		_	2 13 s	2 13 s		215s
			2 07 s			2 13 s
OCOR	678 tq	6 60 br s	7 05 t	6 62 br s	6 81 tq	6 78 t
	4 40 dq	6 23 br s	494d	6 17 br s	6 36 br s	4 87 d
	1 85 dt	4 92 br d	482d	4 88 br d	1 85 dt	4 40 m
		4 72 br d	4 40 dd	4 69 br d		
			4 34 dd			

J (Hz) Compounds 1 and 2 1, $2\beta = 12$, 5, 6 = 9 5, 6, 7 = 8 5, 7, $8 \sim 1$, 7, 13 = 3 5, 7, 13' = 3, 8, $9\alpha = 6$, 9α , $9\beta = 14$, compounds 3 and 4 2, $3\alpha = 11$, 5, 6 = 10 5, 7, 13 = 2, 8, 9 = 3, 9α , $9\beta = 14$, compounds 5 and 6 1, $2 \sim 8$, 2α , 3 = 11 5, 2β , 3 = 5, 5, 6 = 10 5, 5, 15 = 1, 7, 13 = 2, 8, 9 = 3, 9α , $9\beta = 14$, OCOC(Me)=CHCH₂OH 3, 4 = 6, 3, 5 = 4, $5 \sim 1$, OCOC(CH₂OAc)=CHCH₂OH 3, 4 = 5 5, 4, 4' = 13, 5, 5' = 12, OCOC(CH₂OH)=CHCH₂OAc 3, 4 = 6, OCOR (2 and 4) 5, 5' = 13 5

(Et₂O-MeOH, 20 1) TLC of fraction 1 (silica gel, AgNO₃ coated, petrol detection always by UV-light and KMnO₄ spray) afforded 88 mg germacrene D $(R_f 0.38)$ and 20 mg caryophyllene (R, 045), TLC of 10% of the fractions 2 and 3 after addition of CH₂N₂ (silica gel, Et₂O-petrol, 1 10) gave 04 g methyl-entkaurenoate $(R_f 0.67)$, 35 mg ent-kaurenal $(R_f 0.62)$, 134 mg methyl-15 α -isovaleryloxy-ent-kaurenoate (R_f 0 45) and 15 mg ent-kauran-19-ol (R, 015) and TLC of fraction 4 (silica gel, Et₂O-petrol, 1 1) afforded 55 mg 5-acetyl-2-[2-hydroxyisopropyl]-benzofuran [12] (R_f 0 52) and 150 mg 15 α -hydroxy-entkaurenic acid (R, 045) The extract of the aerial parts (300 g) gave on CC (silica gel) fractions (100 ml) as follows 1 (petrol), 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1 10), 3 (Et₂O-petrol, 1 3), 4 (Et₂O-petrol, 1 1), 5 (Et₂O) and 6 (Et₂O-MeOH, 20 1) TLC of fraction 1 (silica gel, AgNO₃ coated, petrol) gave 75 mg germacrene D (R_1 0 35), 160 mg caryophyllene (R_f 0 45), 25 mg bicyclogermacrene $(R_f 0.50)$, 50 mg squalene $(R_f 0.30)$ and 2 mg α -humulene (R_f 033), TLC of 10% of fractions 2 and 3 after addition of CH₂N₂ (silica gel, Et₂O-petrol, 1 10) afforded 3 mg caryophyllene 1,10-epoxide (R_f 0 70), 145 mg methyl-ent-kaurenoate methyl-15α-isovaleryl-oxy-ent-kaurenoate $(R_{\rm f}\,0\,6)$, 15 mg $(R_f 0.45)$, 10 mg 15 α -angeloyloxy-ent-kaurenoate $(R_f 0.42)$ and 30 mg spathulenol (R_f 0 39), TLC of 10% of fraction 4 after addition of CH₂N₂ (silica gel, Et₂O-petrol, 1 3) gave 50 mg $(R_f 0 62),$ 45 mg methyl-16α,17-epoxy-entspathulenol $(R_f 0.58)$, 70 mg methyl-15 α -isovaleryloxy-entkaurenoate $(R_1 \ 0.55)$, 12 mg methyl-15 α -angeloyloxy-entkaurenoate kaurenoate $(R_f 0.53)$, 6 mg ent-kauren-19-ol $(R_f 0.50)$, 45 mg methyl-15α-hydroxy-ent-kaurenoate (R₁ 0 47) and 0 5 mg umbelliferone (R, 041) Fractions 5 and 6 on repeated TLC (silica gel, $CH_2Cl_2-C_6H_6-Et_2O$, 3 3 4) afforded 900 mg 8β -(5hydroxytigloyloxy)-costunolide (R_f 0 60), 860 mg eucannabinolide (R_f 0.55), 290 mg eupaformosanin (R_f 0.50) and mixtures of further sesquiterpene lactones which on HPLC (reversed phase, MeOH-H₂O, 32) and finally TLC (silica gel, C_6H_6 -EtOAc, 3 2) gave 40 mg eupaformonin (R_f 0 72), 39 mg $C = (R_c 0.68),$ 11 mg 9β-hydroxy-8βhivodorilactone tigloyloxycostunolide (R_f 0 64), 3 mg 5 (R_f 0 60), 17 mg chromolaenide $(R_f \ 0.59)$, 1.5 mg 2 $(R_f \ 0.58)$, 1 mg 1 $(R_f \ 0.55)$, 6.5 mg 4 $(R_f 0.45)$, 5.5 mg 3 $(R_f 0.40)$, 3.5 mg eupatoriopicrin $(R_f 0.38)$, 3 mg 6 $(R_f 0.37)$ and 6.5 mg epi-chromolaenide $(R_f 0.34)$ Compounds 1-6 could not be induced to crystallize though they were homogeneous by TLC (different solvents) and by their 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectra Known compounds were identified by comparing the 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectra with those of authentic material, quantities were determined by weight

20-Desoxyeupatoriopicrin (1) IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CHCl}_3}$ cm⁻¹ 3600 (OH), 1770 (γ -lactone), 1725, 1650 (C=CCO₂R), MS m/z (rel int.) 346 [M]⁺ (0.5), 230 131 [M - RCO₂H]⁺ (73) (C₁₅H₁₈O₂), 215 [230 - Me]⁺ (17), 99 [RCO]⁺ (100), 71 [99 - CO]⁺ (75)

Eucannabinolide-20-O-acetate (3) IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CHCl}_3}$ cm⁻¹ 3460 (OH), 1760 (γ -lactone), 1735 (OAc, CO₂R), MS m/z (rel int) 462 189 [M]⁺ (2) (C₂₄H₃₀O₉), 402 [M – HOAc]⁺ (0 8), 228 [402

 $-RCO_2H$]⁺ (38), 157 [RCO]⁺ (12), 97 [157 – HOAc]⁺ (47), 69 [97 – CO]⁺ (100)

$$[\alpha]_{24^{\circ}}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{-72} \frac{578}{-74} \frac{546}{-86} \frac{436 \text{ nm}}{-156} \text{ (CHCl}_3, c 0 45)$$

20-Dehydroeucannabınolude semı acetal (4) IR $v_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹ 3600 (OH), 1760 (γ-lactone), 1740 (OAc, CO₂R), MS m/z (rel int): 418 163 [M]⁺ (7) (C₂₂H₂₆O₈), 400 [M - H₂O]⁺ (2), 358 [M - HOAc]⁺ (2), 228 [358 - RCO₂H]⁺ (43), 113 [RCO]⁺ (14), 95 [113 - H₂O]⁺ (100)

$$[\alpha]_{24^{\circ}}^{1} = \frac{589}{-126} \frac{578}{-128} \frac{546}{-144} \frac{436 \text{ nm}}{-261} \text{ (CHCl}_{3}, c \ 0 \ 36)$$

20-Desoxyeupaformasanın (5) IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹ 3460 (OH), 1770 (γ-lactone), 1755 (OAc), 1715 (C=CCO₂R), MS m/z (rel int) 404 184 [M]⁺ (7) (C₂₂H₂₈O₇), 344 [M – HOAc]⁺ (2), 288 [M – RCO₂H]⁺ (3 5), 246 [288 – ketene]⁺ (27), 228 [288 – HOAc]⁺ (32), 99 [RCO]⁺ (100), 71 [99 – CO]⁺ (69)

$$[\alpha]_{24^{\circ}}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{-46} \frac{578}{-46} \frac{546}{-54} \frac{436 \text{ nm}}{-96} \text{CHCl}_3, c \ 0 \ 17)$$

Eupaformosanın-19-O-acetate (6) IR $v_{max}^{\text{HCI}_3}$ cm⁻¹ 3450 (OH), 1760 (γ-lactone), 1740 (OAc, CO₂R); MS m/z (rel int) 402 [M – HOAc]⁺ (0 5), 228 [402 – RCO₂H]⁺ (10), 157 [RCO]⁺ (6), 97 [157 – HOAc]⁺ (28), 69 [97 – CO]⁺ (100)

Acknowledgements—We thank Dr R M King, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, for the identification of the plant material, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support, G Sch-H thanks for an UNESCO stipendium (project PAR/81/T001)

REFERENCES

- 1 King, R M and Robinson, H (1980) Phytologia 46, 446
- 2 Bohlmann, F, Dhar, A, Jakupovic, J, King, R M and Robinson, H (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 1077
- 3 Herz, W, de Grote, A, Murari, R and Kumar, N (1979) J Org Chem 44, 2784
- 4 Drozdz, B, Grabarczyk, H, Samek, Z, Holub, M, Herout, V and Sorm, F (1972) Coll Czech Chem Commun 37, 1546
- 5 Bohlmann, F, Jakupovic, J, King, R M and Robinson, H (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 1613
- 6 Takahashi, T, Eto, H, Ichimura, T and Murae, T (1978) Chem Letters 1345
- 7 Bohlmann, F and Fiedler, L (1978) Chem Ber 111, 408
- 8 McPhail, A T, Onan, K D, Lee, K H, Ibuka, T and Huang, H C (1974) Tetrahedron Letters 3203
- 9 Lee, K H, Kimura, T, Haruna, M, McPhail, A T, Onan, K D and Huang, H C (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 1068
- 10 Bohlmann, F, Mahanta, P K, Natu, A A, King, R M and Robinson, H (1978) Phytochemistry 17, 471
- 11 Bohlmann, F, Jakupovic, J and Schuster, A (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 1637
- 12 Bohlmann, F and Le Van, N (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 1304